

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/439,890	11/12/1999	TAKESHI SUZUKI	P/3541-3	2252		
7590	09/06/2006	EXAMINER				
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN LLP 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			WOODS,	WOODS, ERIC V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ			2628			

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/439,890	SUZUKI, TAKESHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Eric Woods	2628		

	Enc woods	2020	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 8/23/2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	ATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	, affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or one of the Final REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoshortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailin	ount of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see		ecause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bel	•	y reducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		•	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Nor	-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,	` ,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		ate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		will be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing	a Notice of Anneal will no	nt he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		-
 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap	er No(s)	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.		Mun Clar ULKA CHAUHAN	le
	SUPE	RVISORY PATENT EX	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner does not agree with applicant. Fellegara at least suggests the stated display element, and clarifying this point is not changing grounds of rejection. Further, the system of Fellegara at least suggests advancing between different images. Applicant is ignoring the fact if a panoramic image is made of different frames then the set of frames is still a set of images, regardless of whether or not they are organized as one larger panoramic image. Ejiri does in fact show that sets of image can be used to compose such a panoramic image, in that such an image would consist of a base set of images such as those recited thereby. The point that examiner is trying to make by incorporating Manico is that the system of Fellegara would then be able to *recognize* a panoramic image sotred in memory. The references do not therefore teach away from the combination.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant is encouraged to contact examiner for suggestions regarding amending the claims to overcome the current rejections before the filing of the next reponse. .